



# HOWARD COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

ELlicott CITY HISTORIC DISTRICT ■ LAWYERS HILL HISTORIC DISTRICT

3430 Court House Drive ■ Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

*Administered by the Department of Planning and Zoning*

VOICE 410-313-2350

FAX 410-313-3042

## April Agenda

Thursday, April 4, 2019; 7:00 p.m.

---

The April meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission will be held at 3430 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, MD 21043. All cases are public meetings where any member of the public may offer testimony. Certain cases, such as requests for Certificates of Approval, are contested cases subject to the County Administrative Procedure Act. Information about participating in Commission cases is available at the Commission's website, [www.howardcountymd.gov/Departments/Planning-and-Zoning/Boards-and-Commissions/Historic-Preservation-Commission](http://www.howardcountymd.gov/Departments/Planning-and-Zoning/Boards-and-Commissions/Historic-Preservation-Commission). Additional information may be obtained from the Department of Planning and Zoning by calling 410-313-2350. Part of the meeting may be closed to the public in accordance with Open Meetings Act procedures. Requests for accommodations should be made at least three working days in advance of the meeting.

---

This Agenda identifies the work proposed and includes comments and recommendations from DPZ Staff. The recommendations included here do not constitute a decision of the Commission.

### **PLANS FOR APPROVAL**

#### Consent Agenda

1. MA-18-52c – 3723 Old Columbia Pike, Ellicott City
2. MA-17-42c – 1181 Morgan Station Road, Woodbine
3. HPC-17-05c – 1818 Daisy Road, Woodbine, MD
4. HPC-16-10c – 12050 Old Frederick Road, Marriottsville

#### Regular Agenda

5. HPC-19-10 – New Cut Road, Ellicott City (east side, south of College Avenue)
6. HPC-19-11 – 8054 Main Street, Ellicott City
7. HPC-19-12 – 3845 Ross Road, Ellicott City
8. HPC-19-13 – 3646 Fels Lane, Ellicott City
9. HPC-18-21c – 3626 Church Road, Ellicott City
10. HPC-19-14 – 3626 Church Road, Ellicott City
11. HPC-19-15 – 8098 Main Street, Ellicott City

## CONSENT AGENDA

### **MA-18-52c – 3723 Old Columbia Pike, Ellicott City**

Final tax credit claim 20.112

Applicant: David Ennis

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT, the building dates to 1820. The Applicant was pre-approved for tax credits to remove and replace siding through the Minor Alterations/Executive Secretary process in November 2018. The Applicant has submitted documentation that \$2,368.61 was spent on eligible, pre-approved work. The Applicant seeks \$592.15 in final tax credits.

**Staff Comments:** The work complies with that pre-approved and the cancelled checks and other documentation provided total the requested amount.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted for \$592.15 in final tax credits.

### **MA-17-42c – 1181 Morgan Station Road, Woodbine**

Final tax credit claim 20.112

Applicant: Tiana Coll

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is not located in a historic district but is listed on the Historic Sites Inventory as HO-1157, the Engle-Hartsock-Knill Farm. According to the Inventory form, the building dates circa 1882-1884, with a later addition circa 1895-1915. The Applicant was pre-approved to make several interior structural repairs, repoint mortar, repair the cellar doors and install a concrete floor in the basement through the Executive Secretary pre-approval process in case MA-17-42 in August 2017. The Applicant has submitted documentation that \$25,597.01 was spent on eligible, pre-approved work. The Applicant seeks \$6,399.25 in final tax credits.

**Staff Comments:** The work complies with that pre-approved and the invoices and cancelled checks total the requested amount.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted for \$6,399.25 in final tax credits.

### **HPC-17-05c – 1818 Daisy Road, Woodbine, MD**

Final tax credit claim 20.112.

Applicant: Cathleen Jordan

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is not located in a historic, but is listed on the Historic Sites Inventory as HO-1150, the Hobbs-Eyler Farm. The date of the original log building is unknown. The additions, which bring the house to its current form, date circa 1900. The Applicant was pre-approved in April 2017 to replace the asphalt shingle roof with a standing seam metal roof. The Applicant has submitted documentation that \$34,605.00 was spent on eligible, pre-approved work. The Applicant seeks \$8,651.25 in final tax credits.

**Staff Comments:** The work complies with that pre-approved and the invoices and cancelled checks total the requested amount.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted for \$8,651.25 in final tax credits.

**HPC-16-10c – 12050 Old Frederick Road, Marriottsville**

Final tax credit claim 20.112

Applicant: Sally Hebner

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is not located in a local historic district, but is listed on the Historic Sites Inventory as HO-1149, the Williams-Peddicord Farm. The Applicant was pre-approved in April 2016 to stain the barn siding. The Applicant has submitted documentation that \$6,858.28 was spent on eligible, pre-approved work. The Applicant seeks \$1,714.57 in final tax credits.

**Staff Comments:** The work complies with that pre-approved and the invoices and cancelled checks total the requested amount.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted for \$1,714.57 in final tax credits.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

**HPC-19-10 – New Cut Road, Ellicott City (east side, south of College Avenue)**

Certificate of Approval to remove trees.

Applicant: Brian F. Cleary, Howard County Department of Public Works

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. There are no buildings on this land, as the project involves trees in the County right-of-way. The Applicant seeks approval for the removal of 8 trees on the east side of New Cut Road, to accommodate the relocation of the BGE poles to that side of the road. The application states that the “relocation [of the BGE poles] is essential to repairing New Cut Road. This project is due to the May 2018 flood, which destabilized the east bank of the stream, where multiple overhead power poles are located, requiring the relocation of the overhead lines prior to the New Cut Tributary stabilization necessary to reopen New Cut Road.”

The application contains a tree survey that was prepared by JMT. The tree survey was conducted within the study area and was based on the proposed limits of disturbance. The tree survey states that all trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 12 inches or greater were identified within the study area. Overall, 115 trees were identified within the study area (regardless of dbh – this number includes trees below and above 12 inches dbh). There are 8 trees in the study that have a dbh of 12 inches or larger and are proposed to be removed. There is one tree within the study area that is dead and was not included in the overall 115 trees or in the 8 to be removed, since it is already dead. This tree can be seen in the photos provided and is marked “dead” and is located near tree T-035.

The 8 trees with a dbh of 12 inches or greater that are proposed to be removed include the following:

Tree No.	DBH (inches)	Common Name	Condition	Comments
T-001	38.5	American Sycamore	Fair	Heavy vines, marked with 'X'
T-003	19	Black Cherry	Fair	Vines, Marked with 'X'
T-017	17.5	Boxelder	Good	Marked with 'X'
T-035	44.4	Silver Maple	Poor	Dying, Marked with 'X'
T-040	20	Princesstree	Good	Marked with 'X'
T-048	18.4	Red Maple	Good	Marked with 'X'
T-050	35.9	American Sycamore	Good	Marked with 'X'
T-051	31.5	American Sycamore	Good	Marked with 'X'

**Staff Comments:** The removal of trees is proposed so that the BGE poles can be relocated to the other side of the street, to repair the roadway damage that occurred as a result of the May 2018 flood. The Guidelines do not currently address situations such as these. Chapter 9.B recommends against the “removal of live mature trees, unless it is necessary due to disease or to prevent damage to historic structures.” In this instance, the removal of the live mature trees is needed to repair flood damage that resulted in the collapse of major portions of the roadway.



Figure 1 - View of several of the trees to be removed.



Figure 2 - Damage from May 2018 flood

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted.

### **HPC-19-11 – 8054 Main Street, Ellicott City**

Certificate of Approval for sign.

Applicant: Susan Soorenko

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT the building dates to 1890. This application was originally processed as a Minor Alteration but was removed due to an objection. The Applicant seeks approval for the following work:

1. Remove the existing awning and aluminum brackets from the façade.
2. Paint the existing white door, door trim and windows trim to a light blue (Behr M530-2 “Sky’s The Limit”) and dark blue (Behr M530-4 “Washed Denim”) per the submitted swatches and proposed rendering.
3. Install a new 40 inch long by 14 inch high black steel bracket above the 1<sup>st</sup> floor, between the door and window, where the existing awning is located.
4. Install a double-sided projecting HDU (high density urethane) sign with a cream colored background with brown text outlined in blue and black text. The sign will be 21 inches high by 26 inches long, for a total of 5.25 square feet. The sign will read on three lines:

Moorenko’s  
Ultra-Premium  
Ice Cream

**Staff Comments:** The application complies with the Guidelines. The proposed paint colors on the door, door trim and window trim will be compatible with the existing color of the building and complies with chapter 6.N recommendations, “use colors that are generally compatible with, and do not clash with, the colors used in the district, particularly on neighboring buildings.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends approval.

### **HPC-19-12 – 3845 Ross Road, Ellicott City**

Certificate of Approval for exterior alterations.

Applicant: Ellena McCarthy

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT the building dates to 1945. The Applicant seeks approval to renovate their exterior hardscape on the porch side of their house to increase the safety and accessibility of the home, while keeping the historic character. The Applicant hired a landscape architect to prepare a master plan for their home and they are currently seeking approval to construct Phase 1, which is outlined in red on the site plan.

- 1) **Parking** - Install a one-lane asphalt parking pad off the shared driveway on the east side of the house.
- 2) **North Walkway** - Install a gravel walkway on the northeast side of the house to hold the trash cans (labeled refuse gravel walk). The decorative top coat of the gravel will be a gray/white Bird’s Eye Gravel.
- 3) **South Walkway** - Remove existing stone walk, which is missing grout and buckling. Install a gravel walkway on the south side of the house along the street (labeled east gravel walk). The decorative top coat of the gravel will be a gray/white Bird’s Eye Gravel.
- 4) **Stone Patio/Terrace** - Remove existing stone patio/terrace and timber and stone steps from the east side of the house (facing common driveway) and replace with new stone patio/terrace



using 12"x36" natural cleft full range bluestone pavers in a running bond pattern, per the landscape plan.

- 5) **Trees** - Remove one diseased tree and replace the tree with two ginkgo trees.
- 6) **Porch** – Install blue stone treads on existing concrete steps and paint the concrete risers white. Install a custom iron handrail along stairs. New handrail to match the historic wrought iron gate on the property.
- 7) **Terrace Canopy** – Fabricate and install custom steel canopy support posts with string lights per the landscape plan. Restoration Hardware Party Globe string lights will be hung above the terrace canopy.
- 8) **Outdoor Landscape Lighting** - Install Volt Salty Dog Turret Top with a bronze finish in-grade lights along the pathway and steps. Install Volt Top Dog 180 series bronze spotlights under Ginkgo trees.
- 9) **Retaining wall** – Demolish a portion of the retaining wall damaged due to vine growth and missing mortar. Install a natural boulder retaining wall per the landscape plan. The boulders will be large Pennsylvania boulders. Repair existing retaining wall along Ross Road side of property (northeast side of house)
- 10) **Plant material** – Install a variety of plants and trees per the landscape plan, such as Ginkgo trees, camellias, hydrangeas and other ground covers and shrubs.



Figure 3 - Side view of house





Figure 4 - Steps and patio/terrace to be removed and replaced



Figure 5 - Location of refuse walkway and parking pad



Figure 6 - Stone walkway to be replaced with gravel front Ross Road

**Staff Comments:** The application complies with Chapter 9 recommendations for landscape and site elements. The proposed tree to be removed is not healthy and will be replaced with two new trees to comply with Chapter 9.B, which recommends against the “removal of live mature trees, unless it is necessary due to disease or to prevent damage to historic structures” and recommends, “retain mature trees and shrubs. Provide for their replacement when necessary.”

The use of gravel walkways, the stone patio/terrace and the bluestone porch tread complies with Chapter 9.B recommendations, “construct new terraces or patios visible from a public way from brick, stone or concrete pavers designed to look like indigenous stone” and “construct new site features using



materials compatible with the setting and with nearby historic structures, particularly for features visible from a public way.” The repair of the existing retaining wall complies with Chapter 9.B, which recommends, “preserve historic features, such as retaining walls, freestanding walls...When possible, reuse the historic building materials to repair or restore these structures.” A portion of the retaining wall will be removed to expand the patio area, as shown on the site plan, and large Pennsylvania boulders will be installed to retain the hillside. Landscape beds will be installed on either side of the porch.

The location and construction of the parking pad off the common driveway complies with Chapter 9.B, which recommends, “where needed, install new residential driveways that are narrow (one lane) and follow the contours of the site to minimize the need for clearing and grading. If possible, locate off-street parking spaces in side or rear yards.”

The proposed wrought iron hand railings to flank the porch steps will be designed to match the existing historic iron gates on the property, shown below in Figures 8 and 9. The continued use of this design complies with Chapter 9.B, which recommends “construct new site features using materials compatible with the setting and with nearby historic structures, particularly for features visible from a public way.” It would also be typical to see a handrailing along the steps in the same design as the wood porch railings as well.



**Figure 7 - Portion of retaining wall to be removed for gravel walkway and patio. Boulders to retain the hillside on the right.**



**Figure 8 - Existing historic wrought iron gate**



**Figure 9 - Existing historic wrought iron gate**





**Figure 10 - Porch**

The outdoor lighting consisting of the globe party lights and the bronze spotlights and in-grade lights comply with Chapter 9.E recommendations, “choose and locate lighting fixtures to be visually unobtrusive. Use dark metal or a similar material” and “to the extent possible, direct or shield lighting so that it does not create glare or spill onto neighboring properties. Design lighting to provide a reasonable level of brightness for the intended purposes.”

The steel terrace canopy will consist of four matte black steel posts with four beams (connected in a rectangle to form an open canopy), set in concrete in the ground. The globe lights will be strung from these beams to softly light the outdoor dining area. The Guidelines do not specifically reference a canopy such as this, but the proposal will not affect the historic integrity of the structure or site and may easily be removed one day, if desired. The posts will blend with the black standing seam metal porch roof, which complies with Chapter 9.B recommendations, “construct new site features using materials compatible with the setting and with nearby historic structures, particularly for features visible from a public way.”

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval as submitted.

**HPC-19-13 – 3646 Fels Lane, Ellicott City**

Certificate of Approval for exterior alterations.

Applicant: Connor Lefler

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT the building dates to 1900. The Applicant seeks approval for the following work:

1. Paint the siding in Behr Opulent Opal, a white with light pink tones
2. Paint the door in Behr Perennial Green, a light forest green.
3. Paint the shutters in Behr Totally Black.
4. Add new black vinyl, louvered shutters on the side windows.
5. Replace two 6:6 wood windows on the first floor, side of the house with two 1:1 vinyl windows.
6. Replace damaged wood trim with new wood trim.

**Staff Comments:** The Applicant provided over 10 different paint chips, and indicated the preferred color choices. The Applicant's preferred siding color, Opulent Opal, and several of the other options provided, are various shades of pink and lavender.

Chapter 6.N recommends, "use colors that were historically used on the building" and "use colors appropriate to the period and style of the building." The light pink color would not have been used historically on this building although the dark green door and black shutters are historically appropriate for the period and style.

Chapter 6.N also recommends, "use colors that are generally compatible with (and do not clash with) the colors used in the district, particularly on neighboring buildings." The neighboring building is far enough away that the colors would not clash. The house across the street is a red/orange brick house and the proposed siding color will not be compatible with this house. An actual white, off-white or light gray, from the provided color options would be appropriate.

The Applicant proposes to add black, vinyl louvered shutters to the first-floor windows located on the west side of the house. The other shutters on the house are wood board and batten shutters that are 4 boards wide with the batten on the backside. The black color complies with the Guidelines but the material and style of the proposed vinyl, louvered shutters do not comply with Chapter 6.I recommendations, "for replacements, install shutters or blinds that maintain the size, style and placement of the original." Chapter 6.I also recommends against using "aluminum or vinyl shutters or blinds."

The majority of the existing windows on the house are not original and are a mix of 1:1 wood and vinyl windows. The windows under the front porch are 6:1 wood windows and appear to be original. The proposed 6:6 wood windows to be removed appear to be original, but the other windows on this side of the house are all 1:1 and have already been replaced with vinyl. The application did not document damage to the windows, necessitating removal and replacement, versus repair. Chapter 6.H, states, "vinyl or metal replacement windows do not have the same profile and detailing as wood windows and should be avoided on historic buildings." Chapter 6.H recommends "when repair is not possible, replace original windows, frames and related details with features that fit the original openings and are of the same style, material, finish and window pane configuration. If possible, reproduce frame size and profile and muntin detailing." While the replacement with a 1:1 window would result in the loss of original 6:6 windows, it would also result in a more cohesive window pattern on the west side of the house. The Guidelines do not support the use of vinyl windows. The use of replacement wood windows would qualify for tax credits.



Figure 11 - Existing colors on house



Figure 12 - Existing 4 board wide board and batten shutters



**Figure 13 - Two 6:6 windows to be replaced**

If the Commission approves the removal of the 6:6 side windows, the 6:1 windows on the porch will be the only remaining clue that different windows once existed on this structure.

The in-kind repair of the trim, using wood, complies with Chapter 6.H recommendations to “maintain and repair original window openings, frames, sashes, sills, lintels and trim.” This work would qualify for tax credits.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends:

1. Approval of painting the siding in a true white, off-white or gray, such as Behr Angel Feather or Silent White.
2. Approval of painting the door in Behr Perennial Green, a light forest green.
3. Approval of painting the shutters in Behr Totally Black.
4. Approval of replacing damage wood trim with new wood trim. Staff recommends tax credit pre-approval.
5. The Commission determine the necessity of removing the two 6:6 wood windows on the first floor and otherwise recommends tax credit pre-approval if repaired.
6. Staff recommends denial of adding new vinyl louvered shutters on the side windows.

**HPC-18-21c – 3626 Church Road, Ellicott City**

Final tax credit approval.

Applicant: Edward Fortunato

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT the building dates to 1920. The Applicant was pre-approved for tax credits in HPC-18-21 to replace the asphalt roof on the main historic house. The modern addition and non-historic garage were not included in the pre-approval. The Applicant has submitted documentation that \$23,120.00 was spent to replace the roof. This amount does subtract the modern addition or garage. The staff report stated “the roof on the historic structure is 2,521 square feet. The roof on the addition, which is not eligible for the tax credit, is 842 square feet. This square footage can be used to prorate the final cost of the



replacement for purposes of calculating the tax credit.” The replacement of the garage was not specified in the original application, but was added by the Applicant during the meeting. The invoice provided also includes a cost for adding two skylights and a 2<sup>nd</sup> layer tear off costing \$2,120. The skylights are not eligible as they are located on the new addition and it is unknown what the 2<sup>nd</sup> layer tear off includes.

**Staff Comments:** Staff approximated the square footage of the different roof areas using aerial photography software. The square footage of the garage is 448 square feet, the addition 842 square feet and the historic house is 2,521 square feet. The total square footage of the entire roof area is 3,811 square feet. Based on the total amount paid minus the \$2,120.00 for the skylights which is not eligible, gives a cost of \$5.51 per square foot. As such, the cost of the historic house roof can be prorated to \$13,891.63, which would result in a tax credit of \$3,472.91.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends approval of the prorated amount of \$13,891.63 for the cost of the historic roof, for a tax credit of \$3,472.91.

#### **HPC-19-14 – 3626 Church Road, Ellicott City**

Certificate of Approval for painting. Tax credit pre-approval.

Applicant: Edward Fortunato

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT the building dates to 1920. The Applicant seeks approval to power wash, replace deteriorated wood shingles and change the paint color scheme on the house. The Applicant seeks tax credit pre-approval for the work. The application does not reference the painting of the garage, outbuilding or fence but Staff confirmed that the Applicant also intends to paint these structures.

The proposed paint colors by Farrow & Ball are:

1. Siding – Wimborne White
2. Trim - Wimborne White
3. Windows - Wimborne White
4. Doors - Hague Blue
5. Shutters - Hague Blue
6. Lattice and posts under porch - Wimborne White
7. Porch railings - Hague Blue
8. Porch columns and pickets - Wimborne White
9. Porch floor – will be painted gray to match the existing color
10. Porch ceiling – will be painted light blue, no color spec provided
11. Garage – to match scheme on main house, Wimborne White siding, Hague Blue shutters, Wimborne white garage doors
12. Outbuilding behind garage – to match scheme on main house
13. Fence - Farrow & Ball Wimborne White



Figure 14 - Existing color scheme on house

**Staff Comments:** The application complies with Chapter 6.N recommendations for painting. The proposed colors comply with Chapter 6.N recommendations, “use colors that were historically used on the building” and “use colors appropriate to the period and style of the building.” The use of the paint scheme on the garage and outbuilding behind the garage also comply with the Guideline recommendations, “on attached buildings, use the same colors or a coordinated color scheme whenever possible.”

The application does not specifically reference the replacement of other wood on the house, such as porch floorboards and trim, but Staff recommends tax credit pre-approval for the in-kind replacement of wood trim, porch flooring, railings or pickets on the historic portion of the main home only.

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval of Items 1-13, contingent upon receipt of an appropriate blue paint chip for the porch ceiling. Staff recommends tax credit pre-approval of Items 1-12.

#### **HPC-19-15 – 8098 Main Street, Ellicott City**

Certificate of Approval for exterior alterations. Tax credit pre-approval.

Applicant: Jackie Everett

**Background & Scope of Work:** This property is located in the Ellicott City Historic District. According to SDAT, the building dates to 1890. The Applicant seeks a Certificate of Approval and Tax Credit Pre-Approval (20.112 and 20.113) for the following work:

#### **Exterior Repairs**

- 1) Replacement of the front doors with flood proof doors.
- 2) Replacement of the columns on the front of the building.
- 3) Repair of wood siding at the back of the building.
- 4) Repair of downspouts along the ground at the side of the building.
- 5) Replacement of two existing side doors.

#### Interior Repairs for Tax Credit Pre-Approval

- 6) Replacement of two water heaters, a sink and toilet.
- 7) Repair or replacement of vinyl flooring.
- 8) Reframe walls for drywall.
- 9) Insulate exterior walls.
- 10) Install new drywall.
- 11) Repair vault door.
- 12) Replace interior doors, hardware and trim.
- 13) Skim and paint walls.

**Staff Comments:** In September 2016 the Applicant was approved to replace the front doors that were damaged by the July 2016 flood with 10 light French doors. The previously existing doors at that time were not original to the building, nor historic or architecturally appropriate for the building. The Applicant now proposes to use two full light French doors manufactured by Stormmeister. Chapter 6.G recommends, "Replace inappropriate modern doors with doors of an appropriate style. If documentary evidence of the original door is available, choose a new door similar to the original." In 2016 Staff found a drawing which showed paneled wood doors on the building. Due to the emergency nature of the door replacement, the Commission allowed the Applicant to install French doors as proposed, even though wood panels would have been more historically appropriate for this building and a stronger defense than glass. The image below is a screenshot from the movie *The Goddess*, which shows the bank building in the background and the door appears to be a  $\frac{3}{4}$  glass light with a  $\frac{1}{4}$  wood panel at the bottom and a small frieze panel above the light. These may be the inner doors, or a later replacement door (although historic in its own since *The Goddess* was filmed in 1958). Based on this image, Staff recommends the Applicant install a flood door similar in style, with a panel below the light. Image 18 below, shows a Stormmeister flood door similar to the door seen in the Image 17 of the *Goddess* movie, that is more historically and architecturally appropriate for the building.



Figure 17 - Historic doors seen in *The Goddess*



Figure 18 - Stormmeister flood door





**Figure 19 - Damage from 2018 flood. Photo from May 30, 2018**

The French doors approved after the 2016 flood, were again lost in 2018. This resulted in the second set of French doors destroyed by flooding. The Applicant now proposes to install a flood door, which if installed correctly, should have a better chance of remaining on the building and lasting many years. Therefore, a historically appropriate style should be used.

An application was initially submitted on March 12, 2019 for a variety of work and Staff sent the Applicant a list of follow up questions. There are two doors on the side of the building that will need to be addressed for flood proofing purposes. Staff had requested photos or specification sheets for the replacement doors, but the item was removed from the application. The doors were destroyed after the 2016 flood and replacement doors were installed. Photos showing these doors were not provided, so it is unclear how they withstood the 2018 flood other than noting that the Applicant initially proposed to replace them. If the doors are missing or damaged, the replacement of these doors will be needed to secure the building from flooding.

The Applicant is working on obtaining additional information regarding the exterior items, as requested by Staff. Staff requested specification sheets for the capitals and bases on the column to ensure they were being replaced in-kind. The original base appeared to be Roman Doric base, which is the style that should be used for replacement.

The interior items qualify for the Section 20.113 historic property tax credit. The exact repairs to the downspouts were not specified and Staff requested additional information. If in-kind, the repairs would be considered Routine Maintenance per Chapter 6.E which states, "Maintaining gutters and downspouts and installing replacements of a similar size, location and finish, in the same color as existing gutters and downspouts or a color consistent with the exterior building walls." The in-kind repair would qualify for 20.112 and 20.113 tax credits.



Figure 20 - Remaining capitol



Figure 21 - View inside building

**Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends Approval of the Stormmeister flood doors, in a historically appropriate design as determined by the Commission and tax credit pre-approval (20.112 and 20.113) for the work.

Staff recommends 20.113 tax credit pre-approval for Interior Items 6-13.

Staff recommends tax credit pre-approval for Item 3, contingent upon receiving photos showing the exterior damage and contingent upon the replacement product exactly matching the existing siding in color, material, dimension and profile.

Staff recommends approval of the in-kind repair of Item 4 and tax credit pre-approval for the work 20.112 and 20.113.

Staff recommends Items 2 and 5 be continued until there is more information available on the replacement products.

## OTHER BUSINESS

- 1) May 2, 2019 meeting start time
- 2) July meeting date due to 4<sup>th</sup> of July holiday

\*Chapter and page references are from the Ellicott City or Lawyers Hill Historic District Design Guidelines.

---

Beth Burgess  
Executive Secretary

---

Samantha Holmes  
Staff, Historic Preservation Commission